TES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/245,603	02/05/1999	DAVID T. CURIEL	D6080	5072
20350	7590 03/24/200	ı.	EXAM	INER
	D AND TOWNSEN	PARAS JI	R, PETER	
EIGHTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			1632	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

o' wh "			
· My 2	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/245,603	CURIEL ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Paras, Jr.	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing d			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thin no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). 	e later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding am of the shortened statutory period for repl office later than three months after the ma	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension y originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) M they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		·	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 9, 11, 16, and 22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8 The drawing correction filed on is a) are	poroved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: proposed claim limitations directed to homologous recombination between a plasmide comprising the fiber gene having a Swal site and a plasmid comprising a cDNA encoding a tripeptide would require further consideration and/or a new search also new claims 24-25 were presented without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed claims have not been entered. Applicant's arguments with regard to the rejections under 102 and 103 are solely directed to the proposed claim amendments and have not been considered. The proposed claim amendments would have been sufficient to overcome the rejection under 112, 2nd paragraph. Accordingly, all the standing rejections of record are maintained.

PETER PARAS, JR.

"MARY EXAMINER

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and GREW



Palo Alto, California Tel 650 326-2400

Walnut Creek, California Tel 925 472-5000

San Diego, California Tel 858-350-6100

Denver, Colorado Tel 303 571-4000

Seattle, Washington Tel 206 467-9600 San Francisco

Two Embarcadero Center 8th Floor San Francisco California 94111-3834 Tel 415 576-0200 Fax 415 576-0300

May 20, 2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Re: Advisory Action for Application No. 09/245,603

Dear Commissioner:

We received an **Advisory Action** for the above application on April 5, 2004. We have determined that it is not Townsend and Townsend and Crew's, and we are respectfully returning it to you for handling.

Please re-route the communication to the correct recipient. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Brien Bergner

Docketing Assistant

BMB

Enclosure

60220899 v1